

Prepared by

Nebraska Invasive Species Council

May 30, 2012 Version 1

APHIS Weed Risk Assessment Model

United States Department of Agriculture

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

Nebraska Invasive Species Council

Weed Risk Assessment for Lespedeza cuneata G. Don (Fabaceae) – Chinese bush-clover



Photos: Bugwood.org James H. Miller, USDA Forest Service, Dan Tenaglia, Missouriplants.com, Chris Evans, River to River CWMA

Contact

Nebraska Invasive Species Project 909 Hardin Hall University of Nebraska Lincoln, NE 68583-0984 402-472-3133 http://snr.unl.edu/invasives



Assisted and reviewed by:

Plant Epidemiology and Risk Analysis Laboratory Center for Plant Health Science and Technology Plant Protection and Quarantine Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service United States Department of Agriculture 1730 Varsity Drive, Suite 300 Raleigh, NC 27606

Introduction	Plant Protection and Quarantine (PPQ) regulates noxious weeds under the authority of the Plant Protection Act (7 U.S.C. § 7701-7786, 2000) and the Federal Seed Act (7 U.S.C. § 1581-1610, 1939). A noxious weed is "any plant or plant product that can directly or indirectly injure or cause damage to crops (including nursery stock or plant products), livestock, poultry, or other interests of agriculture, irrigation, navigation, the natural resources of the United States, the public health, or the environment" (7 U.S.C. § 7701-7786, 2000). We use weed risk assessment (WRA) —specifically, the PPQ WRA model ¹ —to evaluate the risk potential of plants, including those newly detected in the United States, those proposed for import, and those emerging as weeds elsewhere in the world. Because the WRA model is geographically and climatically neutral, it can be used to evaluate the baseline invasive/weed potential of any plant species for the entire United States or any area within it. A climate matching tool is used in the WRAs to evaluate those areas of the United States that are suitable for the establishment of the plant. A Monte Carlo simulation to is also used to evaluate the consequences of uncertainty on the outcome of the risk assessment. For more information on the PPQ WRA process, please refer to the document, <i>Introduction to the PPQ Weed Risk Assessment Process</i> , which is available upon request.
Species	Lespedeza cuneata G. Don – Chinese bush-clover
	Family: Fabaceae
Information	Initiation: In December, 2011, members of the Nebraska Invasive Species Council alerted the Council of continued and increasing concern over the invasive plant (and noxious weed in seven Nebraska counties), <i>Lespedeza</i> <i>cuenata</i> . Given the infestsation level of the species in the southeastern
	portion of the state, The Council decided to evaluate this species' risk potential (impact and geographic distribution) of the species. The Council received much help from the Plant Epidemiology and Risk Analysis Laboratory of USDA-APHIS-PPQ throughout the development of this risk assessment.
Foreign distribution:	portion of the state, The Council decided to evaluate this species' risk potential (impact and geographic distribution) of the species. The Council received much help from the Plant Epidemiology and Risk Analysis Laboratory of USDA-APHIS-PPQ throughout the development of this risk assessment. <i>Lespedeza cuneata</i> is native to Australia, China, India, Japan, Korea, Taiwan and may also be native to Butan, Indonesia, Democratic People's Republic of Myanmar (Burma), Nebal, Pakistan, Papua New Guinea, and the Philippines (Stevens 2002, GBIF 2011). It has been introduced to Brazil, Canada, Mexico, South Africa, and the United States (Stevens 2002, GBIF 2011).
Foreign distribution: U.S. distribution and status:	portion of the state, The Council decided to evaluate this species' risk potential (impact and geographic distribution) of the species. The Council received much help from the Plant Epidemiology and Risk Analysis Laboratory of USDA-APHIS-PPQ throughout the development of this risk assessment. <i>Lespedeza cuneata</i> is native to Australia, China, India, Japan, Korea, Taiwan and may also be native to Butan, Indonesia, Democratic People's Republic of Myanmar (Burma), Nebal, Pakistan, Papua New Guinea, and the Philippines (Stevens 2002, GBIF 2011). It has been introduced to Brazil, Canada, Mexico, South Africa, and the United States (Stevens 2002, GBIF 2011). <i>Lespedeza cuneata</i> is present in 32 states. It was initially planted in the United States in the late 1800's for forage and soil conservation (Ohlenbush et al. 2007). It is a listed as a noxious weed in Kansas and Colorado (PLANTS 2011).

¹ Koop, A., L. Fowler, L. Newton, and B. Caton. 2012. Development and validation of a weed screening tool for the United States. Biological Invasions 14(2):273-294. DOI:10.1007/s10530-011-0061-4

1. Lespedeza cuneata analysis

Establishment/Spread Potential	<i>Lespedeza cuneata</i> is a long-lived perennial forb that grows well in grasslands, pastures, roadsides, drainage areas, fencerows and in other
Risk Score = 24	disturbed areas. Seed production through sexual or self fertilization; reproduction can exceed 1,500 seeds on a single stem or ramet (Ohlenbusch et al. 2007, Rossow 2009), and seed banks may remain viable for 20 years
Uncertanity Index = 0.04	(Smith 1993, Ohlenbusch et al. 2007). Seeds dispersal occurs through water (Eddy et al. 2003, Rice 2008), animals (Eddy et al 2003, Duncan et al. 2004, Guker 2010), or humans in contaminated hay (Remaley 2006, Ohlenbusch et al. 2007) or in agricultural equipment (Eddy et al. 2003). <i>L. cuneata</i> can also sprout from root crowns (Remaley 2007, Bargeron et al. 2008, Gucker 2010). Because it has established in several other countries and regions of the U.S. (South Africa, Brazil, Canada, and Mexico; Stevens 2002, GBIF 2011), this species appears adaptable to a a variety of climates and habitats. The abundance of information on this species resulted in a low amount of uncertainty for this risk element.
Impact Potential	<i>Lespedeza cuneata</i> forms dense stands that crowd out native species (Ohlenbusch et al. 2007), changes community composition (Eddy and
Risk Score = 3.7	Moore 1998), and alters habitat structure (Jorgensen 1985). It has also shown allelopathic tendencies (Kalburtji et al. 2001). As such, it is likely to
Uncertanity	affect globally outstanding ecoregions such as the Central Tall Grasslands
Index = 0.12	(Ricketts et al. 1999) and affect some Threatened and Endangered species in the United States. <i>L. cuenata</i> is identified as a weed in production systems
	and can reduce grass production by 92% (Eddy and Moore 1998). This species may potentially displace desirable plants in landscapes of urban areas, however information is limited. There was a low to moderate amount of uncertainty associated with this risk element.
Geographic Potential	We estimate that about 61 percent of the United States (including Alaska and Hawaii) is suitable for the establishment of <i>L. cuneata</i> (Fig. 1). We based that on the species' known distribution elsewhere in the world and includes point-referenced localities and areas of occurrence obtained primarily from GBIF (2011). The map for <i>L. cuneata</i> represents the joint distribution of Plant Hardiness Zones 5-11, areas with 10-90 inches of annual precipitation, and the following Köppen-Geiger climate classes: steppe and desert (with high uncertainty), humid subtropical, marine west coast, humid continental warm summers, and humid continental cool summers.
Entry Potential	We did not assess this species entry potential because <i>L. cuneata</i> has been in the United States since the late 1800's and occurs in 32 states including Nebraska (Stevens 2002, GBIF 2011).

Figure 1. Predicted distribution of *Lespedeza cuneata* in the United States. Map insets for Alaska, Hawaii, and Puerto Rico are not to scale.



2. Results and Conclusion

Model Probabilities: P(Major Invader) = 97.7%P(Minor Invader) = 2.2%P(Non-Invader) = 0.1%Risk Result = **High Risk**

Secondary Screening = N/A





Figure 3. Monte Carlo simulation results (N=5000) for uncertainty around *Carex breviculmis*'s risk scores^a.



^a The blue "+" symbol represents the medians of the simulated outcomes. The smallest box contains 50 percent of the outcomes, the second 95 percent, and the largest 99 percent.

3. Discussion

The result of the weed risk assessment for *Lespedeza cuneata* is High Risk. Comparison of *L. cuneata* to the 204 plant species used to develop the PPQ WRA indicates that it shares many of the same traits and impacts as other high-scoring major-invaders (Fig. 2). Although a low to moderate amount of uncertainty exists for the impact potential, the Monte Carlo simulation indicates that the assessment's results is robust (Fig. 3). *Lespedeza cuneata* poses a high risk potential because of its ability to establish in a diverse set of climatic and environmental contidions (Stevens 2002, GBIF 2011). It forms dense stands capable of producing high numbers of seeds (Ohlenbusch et al. 2007, Rossow 2009) that are dispersed through a variety of means (Eddy et al. 2003, Remaley 2006). *L. cuneata* shows allelopathic properties (Kalburtji et al. 2001), outcompetes native plants, and alters community structure and composition (Jorgensen 1985, Eddy and Moore 1998).

In addition to its risk potential as an invasive weed, managers should consider the extent to which this species invades production systems (rangeland) as well as natural systems. *L. cuneata* was initially introduced to United States in the late 1800's for forage and soil conservation (Ohlenbush et al. 2007). In 1985, *Lespedeza cuneata* was planted with native grasses on crop land retired as part of the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP). In several parts of its U.S. range there are reports of increases and spread in *L. cuneata* populations. In 2003, it infested an estimated 8.6 million acres of the United States. About 15% of the U.S. Tallgrass Prairie Region was infested. As of 2005, it was estimated that *Lespedeza cuneata* occupied 5,501,400 acres (2,226,356 ha) of the mid- to southern Great Plains (Duncan 2005).

4. Literature Cited

- 7 CFR § 360. 2011. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 7, Part 360, (7 CFR § 360 Noxious Weed Regulations). United States Government.
- 7 U.S.C. § 1581-1610. 1939. The Federal Seed Act, Title 7 United States Code § 1581-1610.
- 7 U.S.C. § 7701-7786. 2000. Plant Protection Act, Title 7 United States Code § 7701-7786.
 - Allred, B.W., S.D. Fuhlendorf, T.A. Monaco, and R.E. Will. 2010.Morphological and physiological traits in the success of the invasive plant Lespedeza cuneata. Biological Invasions 12:739-749.
- Bargeron, C.T., C.R. Minteer, C.W. Evans, D.J. Moorhead, G.K. Douce and R.C. Reardon. 2008. Invasive Plants of the United States: Identification, Biology and Control. USDA Forest Service. Accessed online: http://www.invasive.org/weedcd/index.htm
- Blocksome, C.E. 2006. Sericea lespedeza (Lespedeza cuneata): seed dispersal, monitoring, and effect on species richness. Manhattan, KS: Kansas State University. Dissertation.
- Brandon, A.L., D.J. Gibson, B.A. Middleton. 2004. Mechanisms for dominance in an early successional old field by the invasive non-native Lespedeza cuneata

(Dum. Cours.) G. Don. Biological Invasions 6:483-493.

- Brown, D. 2009. Plants Poisonous to Livestock. Cornell University Department of Animal Science. Available at: http://www.ansci.cornell.edu/plants/index.html
- Burton, G. W., and J. S. Andrews. 1948. Recovery and viability of seeds of certain southern grassesand lespedeza passed through the bovine digestive tract. Journal of Agricultural Research 76:95-103.
- Carter, C. T. and I.A. Ungar. 2002. Aboveground vegetation, seed bank and soil analysis of a 31-year-old forest restoration on coal mine spoil in southeastern Ohio. The American Midland Naturalist 147:44-59.
- Center for Invasive Species and Ecosystem Health. 2011. Lespedeza spp. University of Georgia. Available at:http://www.invasive.org/index.cfm
- Coladonato M. 1992. SPECIES: Lespedeza cuneata U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory. Available from

http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/plants/forb/lescun/all.html.

- Cummings, D. C., S.D Fuhlendorf, D.M. Engle. 2007. Is altering grazing selectivity of invasive forage species with patch burning more effective than herbicide treatments? Rangeland Ecology & Management 60:253-260.
- Duncan, C.A., J.J. Jachetta, M.L. Brown, V.F. Carrithers, J.K. Clark, J.M. DiTomaso, R.G. Lym, K.C. McDaniel, M.J. Renz, P.M. Rice. 2004. Assessing the economic, environmental, and societal losses from invasive plants on rangeland and wildlands. Weed Technology 18:1411-1416.
- Duncan, C.A. 2005. Sericea lespedeza--Lespedeza cuneata (Dumont-Cours.) G. Don. In: Duncan, C.L., J.K. Clark, eds. Invasive plants of range and wildlands and their environmental, economic, and societal impacts. WSSA Special Publication. Lawrence, KS: Weed Science Society of America:119-127.
- Eddy, T. A. and C. Moore. 1998. Effects of sericea lespedeza invasion on oak savannas in Kansas. Transactions Wisconsin Academy Science 86:57-62.
- Eddy, T.A., J. Davidson, and B. Obermeyer. 2003. Invasion dynamics and biological control prospects for sericea lespedeza in Kansas. Great Plains Research 13:217-230.
- Farris, R.L. 2006. Adaptation, biology, and control of sericea lespedeza (Lespedeza cuneata), an invasive species. Stillwater, OK: Oklahoma State University. Dissertation.
- Farris, R.L. and D.S. Murray. 2009. Control of Seedling Sericea Lespedeza (Lespedeza cuneata) with Herbicides. Invasive Plant Science and Management 2:337-344.
- Global Invasive Species Database, 2005. Lespedeza cuneata. Available from: http://www.issg.org/database/species/ecology.asp?si=270&fr=1&sts=tss&lang= EN.
- Gucker, C. 2010. (Revised from Munger, G.T., 2004). Lespedeza cuneata. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available at: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/.
- Guernsey, W.J. 1970. Sericea lespedeza: Its use and management. Farmers' Bulletin No. 2245. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture.

- Heap, I. The International Survey of Herbicide Resistant Weeds. Online. March 16, 2012 . Available www.weedscience.com
- Honu, Y.A. K., S. Chandy, and D.J. Gibson. 2009. Occurrence of non-native species deep in natural areas of the Shawnee National Forest, southern Illionois, U.S.A. Natural Areas Journal 29:177-187.
- Janzen, D. H. 1984. Dispersal of Small Seeds by Big Herbivores: Foliage is the Fruit. The American Naturalist 123:338-353.
- Jorgensen, J. R. 1985. Sericea influences early survival, growth, and nutrition of sand pine. In: Shoulders, Eugene, ed. Proceedings, third biennial southern silvicultural research conference; Atlanta, GA. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-54. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station: 363-368.
- Kalburtji, K.L., J.A.Mosjidis, and A.P. Mamolos. 2001. Allelopathic plants. 2. Lespedeza cuneata. Allelopathy Journal 8:41-49.
- Kaul, R.B., D. Sutherland and S. Rolfsmeier. 2006. The Flora of Nebraska. School of Natural Resources, University of Nebraska—Lincoln.
- Langdale, G.W., and J.E. Giddens. 1967. Phytotoxic phenolic compounds in sericea lespedeza residues. Agronomy Journal 59:581-584.
- Lindroth, R.L. and G.O. Batzli. 1984. Food Habits of the Meadow Vole (Microtus pennsylvanicus) in Bluegrass and Prairie Habitats. Journal of Mammalogy 65:600-606.
- Logan, R.H., C.S. Hoveland, and E.D. Donnelly. 1969. A germination inhibitor in the seedcoat of sericea (Lespedeza cuneata (Dumont) G. Don). Agron. J. 61:265-266.
- Magarey, R.D., D.M. Borchert, and J. Schlegel. 2008. Global plant hardiness zones for phytosanitary risk analysis. Scientia Agricola 65:54-59.
- McGraw, R.L. and C.S. Hoveland. 1995. Lespedezas. In: Barnes, R.F., D.A. Miller, C.J. Nelson, eds. Forages. Volume 1: An introduction to grassland agriculture. Ames, IA, Iowa State University Press.
- Miller, J.H., and K.V. Miller. 1999. Forest plants of the southeast and their wildlife uses. Southern Weed Science Society.
- Miller, J. H., E. B. Chambliss, and C. T. Bargeron. 2004. Invasive Plants of the Thirteen Southern States. Invasive.org: Invasive and Exotic Species of North America. Online resource at http://www.invasive.org/
- Mosjidis, J. A. 2001. Registration of `AU Grazer' sericea lespedeza. Crop Science. 41:262.
- Nabors, P.J. 1996. The current status and potential spread of an invasive exotic species: Chinese yam (Dioscorea batatas) in the Great Smoky Mountains National Park. University of Tennessee. Thesis.
- NAPPFAST. 2008. Databases used in the NAPPFAST system. NCSU APHIS Plant Pest Forecasting System (NAPPFAST). Last accessed December 10, 2011, http://www.nappfast.org/databases/NAPPFAST% 20Databases.htm.
- Nickrent, D.L. 1997. Parasitic Plant Classification. The Parasitic Plants Connection Department of Plant Biology, Southern Illinois University Carbondale. Available at: http://www.parasiticplants.siu.edu/ListParasites.html
- Nix, L. E. 1985. Legume interplanting reduces growth of young loblolly pine on

eroded Lespedeza cuneata

http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/plants/forb/lescun/all.html

- Ohlenbusch, P.D. T. Bidwell, W.H. Fick, G. Kilgore, W. Scott, J. Davidson, S. Clubine, J. Mayo, and M. Coffin. 2007. Sericea lespedeza: history, characteristics, and identification. MF-2408. Manhattan, KS: Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station; Coopertive Extension Service. Available online: http://www.ksre.ksu.edu/library/crpsl2/mf2408.pdf.
- Peel, M.C., B.L. Finlayson, and T.A. McMahon. 2007. Updated world map of the Koppen-Geiger climate classification. Hydrology and Earth Systems Sciences 11:1633-1644.
- Remaley, T. 2006. Plant Conservation Alliance Fact Sheet: Chinese Lespedeza. Plant Conservation Alliance's Alien Plant Working Group. Available at: http://www.nps.gov/plants/alien
- Rice, R.D. 2008. Sericea Lespedeza What? Why? How? When? Kansas Natural Resources Conservation Service. Accessed online: http://www.ks.nrcs.usda.gov/news/coneds04/SericeaLespedeza04.html
- Ricketts, T.H., E. Dinerstein, D.M. Olson, C.J. Loucks, W. Elchbaum, D.
 DellaSala, K. Kavanagh, P. Hedao, P.T. Hurley, K.M. Carney, R. Abell, and S.
 Walters. 1999. Terrestrial Ecoregions of North America: A Conservation
 Assessment. Island Press, Washington D.C. 485 pp.
- Rossow, M.A. 2009. Sericea lespedeza in Kansas, including erect bush-clovers in Kansas, [Online]. In: Kansas School Naturalist 56. Emporia, KS: Emporia State University, Department of Biology Producer). Available: http://www.emporia.edu/ksn/v56-summer2009/text.htm
- Schneider, J., D S. Maehr, K.J. Alexy, J.J. Cox, J.L. Larkin, and B.C. Reeder. 2006. Food Habits of Reintroduced Elk in Southeastern Kentucky. Southeastern Naturalist 5:535-546.
- Schutzenhofer, M.R., T.J., Valone, and T.M. Knight. 2009. Herbivory and population dynamics of invasive and native Lespedeza. Oecologia 161:57–66.
- Segelquist, C. A. 1971. Moistening and heating improve germination of two legume species. Journal of Range Management 24:393-394.
- Sharp, W. C., R. S. Ross, M. W. Testerman, and R. Williamson. 1980. Ability of crown vetch to suppress woody plant invasion. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation 35:142-144.
- Silliman, S. and A.D. Maccarone. 2005. Distribution, infestation, and habits of sericea lespedeza (Lespedeza cuneata) in Cowley County, Kansas. Transactions of the Kansas Academy of Science 108:83-92.
- Smith, M.D. and A.K. Knapp. 2001. Physiological and morphological traits of exotic, invasive exotic, and native plant species in tallgrass prairie. International Journal of Plant Sciences 162:785–792.
- Smith, T.E. 1993. [Revised 1997]. Vegetation management guideline: sericea lespedeza [Lespedeza cuneata (Dum.-Cours.) Don]. In: Smith, Tim E., ed. Missouri vegetation management guide. Jefferson City, MO: Missouri Department of Conservation, Natural History Division: 128-131. Available online: http://mdc.mo.gov/nathis/exotic/vegman/twentytw.htm
- Stevens S. 2002. Element Stewardship Abstract for Lespedeza cuneata (Dumont-

Cours.) G. Don. The Nature Conservancy. Available from http://tncweeds.ucdavis.edu/esadocs/documnts/lespcun.html

- Turner, S. A. 2004. Facilitation and Competition between a nitrogen-fixing perennial legume, Lespedeza cuenata, and an annual, Heterotheca subaxillaris, in a South Carolina old field. Dissertation. University of Georgia.
- USDA, NRCS. 2011. The PLANTS Database. National Plant Data Center, Baton Rouge, LA 70874-4490 USA. Available online: http://plants.usda.gov.

Walker, R. 1994. Parasitic Plants Database. Available at http://www.omnisterra.com/bot/pp_home.cgi

- Wehtje, G., R.H. Walker, and J.D. Jones. 1999. Weed Control in Low-Tannin Seedling Sericea Lespedeza (Lespedeza cuneata). Weed Technology 13:290-295.
- Woods, T.M., D.C. Hartnett, and C.J. Ferguson. 2009. High propagule production and reproductive fitness homeostasis contribute to the invasiveness of Lespedeza cuneata (Fabaceae). Biological Invasions 11:1913-1927.